

Surviving Sepsis Campaign®

The logo for the Surviving Sepsis Campaign, featuring the text "Surviving Sepsis" in green and "Campaign" in blue, with a registered trademark symbol. To the right of the text is a graphic of five blue circles of varying sizes arranged in a curved pattern.

SURVIVING SEPSIS CAMPAIGN: GUIDELINES ON THE MANAGEMENT OF CRITICALLY ILL ADULTS WITH CORONAVIRUS DISEASE 2019 (COVID-19)

RECOMMENDATIONS TABLES

INFECTION CONTROL & TESTING

HEMODYNAMICS

VENTILATION

THERAPY

Surviving Sepsis Campaign®

SURVIVING SEPSIS CAMPAIGN: GUIDELINES ON THE MANAGEMENT OF CRITICALLY ILL ADULTS WITH CORONAVIRUS DISEASE 2019 (COVID-19)

INFECTION CONTROL & TESTING RECOMMENDATIONS TABLE

RECOMMENDATION #1	STRENGTH & QUALITY OF EVIDENCE
For healthcare workers performing aerosol-generating procedures on patients with COVID-19 in the ICU, we recommend using fitted respirator masks (N95 respirators, FFP2, or equivalent) , as opposed to surgical/medical masks, in addition to other personal protective equipment (i.e., gloves, gown, and eye protection, such as a face shield or safety goggles).	Best Practice Statement
RECOMMENDATION #2	STRENGTH & QUALITY OF EVIDENCE
We recommend performing aerosol-generating procedures on ICU patients with COVID-19 in a negative pressure room.	Best Practice Statement
RECOMMENDATION #3	STRENGTH & QUALITY OF EVIDENCE
For healthcare workers providing usual care for non-ventilated COVID-19 patients, we suggest using surgical/medical masks, as opposed to respirator masks, in addition to other personal protective equipment (i.e., gloves, gown, and eye protection, such as a face shield or safety goggles).	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Weak• Low-Quality of Evidence

RECOMMENDATION #4	STRENGTH & QUALITY OF EVIDENCE
<p>For healthcare workers who are performing non-aerosol-generating procedures on mechanically ventilated (closed circuit) patients with COVID-19, we suggest using surgical/medical masks, as opposed to respirator masks, in addition to other personal protective equipment (i.e., gloves, gown, and eye protection, such as a face shield or safety goggles).</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Weak • Low-Quality of Evidence

RECOMMENDATION #5	STRENGTH & QUALITY OF EVIDENCE
<p>For healthcare workers performing endotracheal intubation on patients with COVID-19, we suggest using video-guided laryngoscopy, over direct laryngoscopy, if available.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Weak • Low-Quality of Evidence

RECOMMENDATION #6	STRENGTH & QUALITY OF EVIDENCE
<p>For COVID-19 patients requiring endotracheal intubation, we recommend that endotracheal intubation be performed by the healthcare worker who is most experienced with airway management in order to minimize the number of attempts and risk of transmission.</p>	<p>Best Practice Statement</p>

LABORATORY DIAGNOSIS AND SPECIMENS

RECOMMENDATION #7.1	STRENGTH & QUALITY OF EVIDENCE
<p>For intubated and mechanically ventilated adults with suspicion of COVID-19: For diagnostic testing, we suggest obtaining lower respiratory tract samples in preference to upper respiratory tract (nasopharyngeal or oropharyngeal) samples.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Weak • Low-Quality of Evidence

RECOMMENDATION #7.2	STRENGTH & QUALITY OF EVIDENCE
<p>For intubated and mechanically ventilated adults with suspicion of COVID-19: With regard to lower respiratory samples, we suggest obtaining endotracheal aspirates in preference to bronchial wash or bronchoalveolar lavage samples.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Weak • Low-Quality of Evidence

Surviving Sepsis Campaign®

SURVIVING SEPSIS CAMPAIGN: GUIDELINES ON THE MANAGEMENT OF CRITICALLY ILL ADULTS WITH CORONAVIRUS DISEASE 2019 (COVID-19)

HEMODYNAMICS RECOMMENDATIONS TABLE

FLUID THERAPY

RECOMMENDATION #8	STRENGTH & QUALITY OF EVIDENCE
In adults with COVID-19 and shock , we suggest using dynamic parameters skin temperature, capillary refilling time, and/or serum lactate measurement over static parameters in order to assess fluid responsiveness.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Weak• Low-Quality of Evidence
RECOMMENDATION #9	STRENGTH & QUALITY OF EVIDENCE
For the acute resuscitation of adults with COVID-19 and shock , we suggest using a conservative over a liberal fluid strategy.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Weak• Very Low-Quality of Evidence
RECOMMENDATION #10	STRENGTH & QUALITY OF EVIDENCE
For the acute resuscitation of adults with COVID-19 and shock , we recommend using crystalloids over colloids.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Strong• Moderate-Quality of Evidence

RECOMMENDATION #11	STRENGTH & QUALITY OF EVIDENCE
For the acute resuscitation of adults with COVID-19 and shock , we suggest using buffered/ balanced crystalloids over unbalanced crystalloids.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Weak • Moderate-Quality of Evidence
RECOMMENDATION #12	STRENGTH & QUALITY OF EVIDENCE
For the acute resuscitation of adults with COVID-19 and shock , we recommend against using hydroxyethyl starches.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strong • Moderate-Quality of Evidence
RECOMMENDATION #13	STRENGTH & QUALITY OF EVIDENCE
For the acute resuscitation of adults with COVID-19 and shock , we suggest against using gelatins.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Weak • Low-Quality of Evidence
RECOMMENDATION #14	STRENGTH & QUALITY OF EVIDENCE
For the acute resuscitation of adults with COVID-19 and shock , we suggest against using dextrans.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Weak • Low-Quality of Evidence
RECOMMENDATION #15	STRENGTH & QUALITY OF EVIDENCE
For the acute resuscitation of adults with COVID-19 and shock , we suggest against the routine use of albumin for initial resuscitation.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Weak • Moderate-Quality of Evidence

VASOACTIVE AGENTS

RECOMMENDATION #16	STRENGTH & QUALITY OF EVIDENCE
For adults with COVID-19 and shock , we suggest using norepinephrine as the first-line vasoactive agent, over other agents.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Weak • Low-Quality of Evidence

RECOMMENDATION #17	STRENGTH & QUALITY OF EVIDENCE
<p>If norepinephrine is not available, we suggest using either vasopressin or epinephrine as the first-line vasoactive agent, over other vasoactive agents, for adults with COVID-19 and shock.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Weak • Low-Quality of Evidence
RECOMMENDATION #18	STRENGTH & QUALITY OF EVIDENCE
<p>For adults with COVID-19 and shock, we recommend against using dopamine if norepinephrine is available.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strong • High-Quality of Evidence
RECOMMENDATION #19	STRENGTH & QUALITY OF EVIDENCE
<p>For adults with COVID-19 and shock, we suggest adding vasopressin as a second-line agent, over titrating norepinephrine dose, if target mean arterial pressure (MAP) cannot be achieved by norepinephrine alone.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Weak • Moderate-Quality of Evidence
RECOMMENDATION #20	STRENGTH & QUALITY OF EVIDENCE
<p>For adults with COVID-19 and shock, we suggest titrating vasoactive agents to target a MAP of 60-65 mmHg, rather than higher MAP targets.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Weak • Low-Quality of Evidence
RECOMMENDATION #21	STRENGTH & QUALITY OF EVIDENCE
<p>For adults with COVID-19 and shock with evidence of cardiac dysfunction and persistent hypoperfusion despite fluid resuscitation and norepinephrine, we suggest adding dobutamine, over increasing norepinephrine dose.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Weak • Very Low-Quality of Evidence
RECOMMENDATION #22	STRENGTH & QUALITY OF EVIDENCE
<p>For adults with COVID-19 and refractory shock, we suggest using low-dose corticosteroid therapy (“shock-reversal”), over no corticosteroid. Remark: A typical corticosteroid regimen in septic shock is intravenous hydrocortisone 200 mg per day administered either as an infusion or intermittent doses.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Weak • Low-Quality of Evidence

Surviving Sepsis Campaign®

SURVIVING SEPSIS CAMPAIGN: GUIDELINES ON THE MANAGEMENT OF CRITICALLY ILL ADULTS WITH CORONAVIRUS DISEASE 2019 (COVID-19)

VENTILATION RECOMMENDATIONS TABLE

VENTILATORY SUPPORT

RECOMMENDATION #23	STRENGTH & QUALITY OF EVIDENCE
In adults with COVID-19, we suggest starting supplemental oxygen if the peripheral oxygen saturation (Spo2) is < 92%, and recommend starting supplemental oxygen if Spo2 is < 90%.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strong • Moderate-Quality of Evidence
RECOMMENDATION #24	STRENGTH & QUALITY OF EVIDENCE
In adults with COVID-19 and acute hypoxemic respiratory failure on oxygen , we recommend that Spo2 be maintained no higher than 96% (strong recommendation, moderate quality evidence).	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strong • Moderate-Quality of Evidence
RECOMMENDATION #25	STRENGTH & QUALITY OF EVIDENCE
For the acute resuscitation of adults with COVID-19 and shock, we recommend using crystalloids over unbalanced crystalloids.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Weak • Low-Quality of Evidence
RECOMMENDATION #26	STRENGTH & QUALITY OF EVIDENCE
For the acute resuscitation of adults with COVID-19 and shock, we suggest using buffered/ balanced crystalloids over unbalanced crystalloids.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Weak • Low-Quality of Evidence

RECOMMENDATION #27

STRENGTH & QUALITY OF EVIDENCE

In adults with COVID-19 and acute hypoxemic respiratory failure, if HFNC is not available and there is no urgent indication for endotracheal intubation, we suggest a trial of NIPPV with close monitoring and short-interval assessment for worsening of respiratory failure.

- Weak
- Very Low-Quality
- of Evidence

RECOMMENDATION #28

STRENGTH & QUALITY OF EVIDENCE

We were not able to make a recommendation regarding the use of helmet NIPPV compared with mask NIPPV. It is an option, but we are not certain about its safety or efficacy in COVID-19.

RECOMMENDATION #29

STRENGTH & QUALITY OF EVIDENCE

In adults with COVID-19 receiving NIPPV or HFNC, we **recommend** close monitoring for worsening of respiratory status, and early intubation in a controlled setting if worsening occurs.

Best Practice Statement

INVASIVE MECHANICAL VENTILATION

RECOMMENDATION #30

STRENGTH & QUALITY OF EVIDENCE

In mechanically ventilated adults with **COVID-19 and ARDS**, we **recommend** using low tidal volume (Vt) ventilation (Vt 4–8mL/kg of predicted body weight), over higher tidal volumes (Vt > 8mL/kg).

- Strong
- Moderate-Quality of Evidence

RECOMMENDATION # 31

STRENGTH & QUALITY OF EVIDENCE

For mechanically ventilated adults with **COVID-19 and ARDS**, we **recommend** targeting plateau pressures (Pplat) of < 30cm H₂O.

- Strong
- Moderate-Quality of Evidence

PRACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS

RECOMMENDATION #32

For mechanically ventilated adults with **COVID-19 and moderate to severe ARDS**, we **suggest** using a higher PEEP strategy, over a lower PEEP strategy (weak recommendation, low-quality evidence). **Remark: If using a higher PEEP strategy (i.e., PEEP > 10 cm H₂O), clinicians should monitor patients for barotrauma.**

STRENGTH & QUALITY OF EVIDENCE

- Weak
- Low-Quality of Evidence

RECOMMENDATION #33

For mechanically ventilated adults with **COVID-19 and ARDS**, we **suggest** using a conservative fluid strategy over a liberal fluid strategy.

STRENGTH & QUALITY OF EVIDENCE

- Weak
- Low-Quality of Evidence

RECOMMENDATION #34

For mechanically ventilated adults with **COVID-19 and moderate to severe ARDS**, we **suggest** prone ventilation for 12 to 16 hours, over no prone ventilation.

STRENGTH & QUALITY OF EVIDENCE

- Weak
- Low-Quality of Evidence

Recommendation #35.1: For mechanically Ventilated patients with COVID-19 and moderate to severe ARDS

We **suggest** using, as needed, intermittent boluses of neuromuscular blocking agents (NMBA), over continuous NMBA infusion, to facilitate protective lung ventilation.

STRENGTH & QUALITY OF EVIDENCE

- Weak
- Low-Quality of Evidence

Recommendation #35.2: For mechanically Ventilated patients with COVID-19 and moderate to severe ARDS

In the event of persistent ventilator dyssynchrony, the need for ongoing deep sedation, prone ventilation, or persistently high plateau pressures, we **suggest** using a continuous NMBA infusion for up to 48 hours.

STRENGTH & QUALITY OF EVIDENCE

- Weak
- Low-Quality of Evidence

RECOMMENDATION #36

In mechanically ventilated adults with **COVID-19 ARDS**, we recommend against the routine use of inhaled nitric oxide.

STRENGTH & QUALITY OF EVIDENCE **ST**

- Strong
- Low-Quality of Evidence

Surviving Sepsis Campaign®

SURVIVING SEPSIS CAMPAIGN: GUIDELINES ON THE MANAGEMENT OF CRITICALLY ILL ADULTS WITH CORONAVIRUS DISEASE 2019 (COVID-19)

COVID-19 THERAPY RECOMMENDATIONS TABLE

CYTOKINE STORM

RECOMMENDATION #41	STRENGTH & QUALITY OF EVIDENCE
In mechanically ventilated adults with COVID-19 and respiratory failure (without ARDS) , we suggest against the routine use of systemic corticosteroids.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Weak• Low-Quality of Evidence
RECOMMENDATION #42	STRENGTH & QUALITY OF EVIDENCE
In mechanically ventilated adults with COVID-19 and ARDS , we suggest using systemic corticosteroids, over not using corticosteroids.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Weak• Low-Quality of Evidence
RECOMMENDATION #43	STRENGTH & QUALITY OF EVIDENCE
In mechanically ventilated patients with COVID-19 and respiratory failure , we suggest using empiric antimicrobials/antibacterial agents, over no antimicrobials.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Weak• Low-Quality of Evidence
RECOMMENDATION #44	STRENGTH & QUALITY OF EVIDENCE
For critically ill adults with COVID-19 who develop fever , we suggest using acetaminophen/paracetamol for temperature control, over no treatment.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Weak• Low-Quality of Evidence

RECOMMENDATION #45	STRENGTH & QUALITY OF EVIDENCE
In critically ill adults with COVID-19, we suggest against the routine use of standard intravenous immunoglobulins (IVIg).	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Weak • Very Low-Quality Evidence
RECOMMENDATION #46	STRENGTH & QUALITY OF EVIDENCE
In critically ill adults with COVID-19, we suggest against the routine use of convalescent plasma.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Weak • Very Low-Quality of Evidence
RECOMMENDATION #47.1	STRENGTH & QUALITY OF EVIDENCE
In critically ill adults with COVID-19 we suggest against the routine use of lopinavir/ritonavir.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Weak • Low-Quality of Evidence
RECOMMENDATION #47.2 RECOMMENDATION #15	STRENGTH & QUALITY OF EVIDENCE
There is insufficient evidence to issue a recommendation on the use of other antiviral agents in critically ill adults with COVID-19.	Insufficient
RECOMMENDATION #48	STRENGTH & QUALITY OF EVIDENCE
There is insufficient evidence to issue a recommendation on the use of recombinant rIFNs, alone or in combination with antivirals, in critically ill adults with COVID-19.	Insufficient
RECOMMENDATION #49	STRENGTH & QUALITY OF EVIDENCE
There is insufficient evidence to issue a recommendation on the use of chloroquine or hydroxychloroquine in critically ill adults with COVID-19.	Insufficient
RECOMMENDATION #50	STRENGTH & QUALITY OF EVIDENCE
There is insufficient evidence to issue a recommendation on the use of tocilizumab in critically ill adults with COVID-19.	Insufficient